1 2	I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (SBN 173985) nchatterjee@orrick.com WILLIAM H. WRIGHT (SBN 161580)	GEORGE A. RILEY (SBN 118304) griley@omm.com MARK E. MILLER (SBN 130200)
3	wwright@orrick.com ROBERT J. BENSON (SBN 155971)	markmiller@omm.com MICHAEL SAPOZNIKOW (SBN 242640)
4	rbenson@orrick.com VICKIE L. FEEMAN (SBN 177487)	ELIZABETH OFFEN-BROWN (SBN 279077)
5	vfeeman@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	eoffenbrown@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
6	1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025	Two Embarcadero Center, 28 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111
7	Telephone: +1-650-614-7400 Facsimile: +1-650-614-7401	Telephone: 1+(415) 984-8700 Facsimile: 1+(415) 984-8701
8	DOUGLAS E. LUMISH (SBN 183863) dlumish@kasowitz.com	Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION
9	JEFFREY G. HOMRIG (SBN 215890)	
10	jhomrig@kasowitz.com GABRIEL S. GROSS (SBN 254672) ggross@kasowitz.com	
11	JOSEPH H. LEE (SBN 248046)	
12	jlee@kasowitz.com KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP	
13	333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 200	
14	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: 1+(650) 453-5170 Faccinite: 1+(650) 453-5171	
15	Facsimile: 1+(650) 453-5171	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SYNOPSYS, INC., EMULATION VERIFICATION	
17	ENGINEERING S.A. and EVE-USA, INC.	
18	LINUTED OT A TEG DIGTRICE COLUDE	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
22	SYNOPSYS, INC., EMULATION	Case No. 3:12-cv-05025-MMC
23	VERIFICATION ENGINEERING S.A. AND EVE-USA, INC.,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSEDT
24	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO FILE PORTIONS OF DOCUMENT UNDER SEAL
25	v.	PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 79-5
26	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION,	
27	Defendant.	
28		

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

## **STIPULATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 7-12, Plaintiffs Synopsys, Inc., EVE-USA, Inc. ("EVE-USA"), and Emulation and Verification Engineering, S.A. ("EVE S.A.") and Defendant Mentor Graphics Corp. ("Mentor Graphics") jointly submit this stipulation regarding Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Portions of Documents Under Seal Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5. The parties request that the Court approve the Stipulation and enter the proposed order allowing Plaintiffs to file under seal portions of Plaintiffs' Answer to Mentor Graphics Corporation's Counterclaims ("Plaintiffs' Answer").

Although counsel for Mentor Graphics Corporation has not reviewed Plaintiffs' Answer, Plaintiffs have represented that portions of Plaintiffs' Answer quote from and/or refer to the confidential terms of the same 2006 settlement agreement between EVE-USA, EVE S.A., and Mentor Graphics Corporation that was filed under seal as Exhibit A to Defendant Mentor Graphics Corporation's Answer to Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Counterclaims. This agreement requires the parties to keep the non-public aspects of the agreement confidential. See Exhibit A to Defendant Mentor Graphics Corporation's Answer to Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Counterclaims. Mentor Graphics agrees that the parties have a duty to maintain the confidentiality of the terms of the 2006 settlement agreement and relies on Plaintiffs' representations as to the content of Plaintiffs' Answer for purposes of this stipulation.

1	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby STIPULATE and REQUEST the Court to place		
2	portions of Plaintiffs' Answer to Mentor Graphics Corporation's Counterclaims under seal in the		
3	files and records of the Court until further order of the Court. <sup>1</sup>		
4	Dated: February 4, 2013	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	
5			
6		By: <u>/s/ Vickie L. Feeman</u>	
7		VICKIE L. FEEMAN Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8		SYNOPSYS, INC., EMULATION VERIFICATION ENGINEERING S.A.	
9		AND EVE-USA, INC.	
10	Dated: February 4, 2013	O'MELVENY & MEYERS LLP	
11			
12		By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth Offen-Brown</u> ELIZABETH OFFEN-BROWN	
13		Attorneys for Defendant MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION	
14	Democratic Civil Level Bule 5 1	(i)(2)	
15	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), counsel for Plaintiffs has obtained the concurrence		
16	of Mentor Graphics Corporation's counsel in the filing of this Stipulated Request.		
17	Dated: February 4, 2013	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP	
18			
19		By: <u>/s/ Vickie L. Feeman</u> VICKIE L. FEEMAN	
20		Attorneys for Plaintiffs SYNOPSYS, INC., EMULATION	
21		VERIFICATION ENGINEERING S.A. AND EVE-USA, INC.	
22			
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24			
25	DATED: February 7, 2013	Mafine M. Chesney  Hoporable Maxine M. Chesney	
26		Hororable Maxine M. Chesney United States District Judge	
27		_	
28	<sup>1</sup> Paragraphs 7 and 7 of Plaintiffs' Answer correspond to paragraphs 7 and 7 of Counts II and III of Mentor's Counterclaims.		
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO FILE	